

Robust Superfluid Phases of ^3He in Aerogel

I.A.Fomin

*P. L. Kapitza Institute for Physical Problems,
ul. Kosygina 2, 119334 Moscow, Russia*

Within a phenomenological approach possible forms of the order parameter of the superfluid phases of ^3He in a vicinity of the transition temperature are discussed. Effect of aerogel is described by a random tensor field interacting with the orbital part of the order parameter. With respect to their interaction with the random tensor field a group of "robust" order parameters which can maintain long-range order in a presence of the random field is specified. Robust order parameters, corresponding to Equal Spin Pairing (ESP) states are found and proposed as candidates for the observed A-like superfluid phase of liquid ^3He in aerogel.

PACS numbers: 67.57.Pq, 67.57.Bc, 67.57.De

1. Introduction

It has been shown before ¹ that aerogel influences a choice of superfluid phases of ^3He . Only phases which satisfy the condition

$$\eta_{jl}A_{\mu j}A_{\mu l}^* = 0. \quad (1)$$

can maintain a long-range order. Here $\eta_{jl} = \eta_{jl}(\mathbf{r})$ is an arbitrary real traceless symmetrical tensor field. It describes in Ginzburg and Landau region a local splitting of the phase transition temperature for different spherical harmonics with $l = 1$. Condition (1) serves as criterium for a choice of correct zeroth order combinations of $A_{\mu j}$ on the perturbation $\eta_{jl}(\mathbf{r})$, it can be rewritten in a form

$$A_{\mu l}A_{\mu j}^* + A_{\mu j}A_{\mu l}^* = \delta_{jl} \cdot \text{const}. \quad (2)$$

Let us refer as "robust" the phases for which either condition (1) or equivalently (2) is met. It can be checked easily that the BW-phase of pure (free

I. A. Fomin

of aerogel) ^3He is robust. On the other hand ABM-phase with the order parameter

$$A_{\mu j} = \Delta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{d}_{\mu} (\hat{m}_j + i \hat{n}_j), \quad (3)$$

when substituted in the left-hand side of eq.(2) renders $\sim -\eta_{jn} l_j l_n \neq 0$ and is not robust (here $\hat{\mathbf{m}}$, $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{l}} = \hat{\mathbf{m}} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}$ are mutually orthogonal unit vectors). According to general argument of Imry and Ma ² in that case orientation of $\hat{\mathbf{l}}$ can be preserved only over a limited distance, i.e. Cooper pairing can be realized only as a localized state. In the experiments ^{3,4} two superfluid phases with different static and dynamic magnetic properties are observed. One of the phases is analogous to the BW-phase of pure ^3He , the other must be of ESP-type, i.e. for a certain choice of quantisation axis it does not contain component with zero projection of spin. The order parameter of an ESP-phase can be written as

$$A_{\mu j} = \Delta \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [\hat{d}_{\mu} (m_j + i n_j) + \hat{e}_{\mu} (l_j + i p_j)], \quad (4)$$

For this phase to be robust four vectors $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{p}$ must satisfy the equation

$$m_j m_l + n_j n_l + l_j l_l + p_j p_l = \delta_{jl} \cdot \text{const.} \quad (5)$$

One solution of that equation ($\mathbf{p}=0$, $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{l}$ - orthonormal set) was discussed in ref. ¹. In what follows all solutions of eq. (5) will be found and a choice of physically relevant solution is discussed.

2. Robust ESP phases

To find all solutions of eq. (5) let us consider 4-dimensional equation

$$m_r m_s + n_r n_s + l_r l_s + p_r p_s = \delta_{rs}, \quad (6)$$

where $r, s=1, 2, 3, 4$. General (up to an overall rotation) solution of this equation is a set of four orthonormal vectors $\hat{q}^{(a)} : \hat{q}^{(a)} \cdot \hat{q}^{(b)} = \delta^{ab}$. Now let us fix an arbitrary four-dimensional unit vector $\hat{\nu} = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4)$ and project $\hat{q}^{(a)}$ on a three-dimensional hyperplane, orthogonal to $\hat{\nu}$. Four resulting vectors, belonging to this hyperplane

$$\mathbf{m} = \hat{q}^{(1)} - \nu_1 \hat{\nu}, \mathbf{n} = \hat{q}^{(2)} - \nu_2 \hat{\nu}, \mathbf{l} = \hat{q}^{(3)} - \nu_3 \hat{\nu}, \mathbf{p} = \hat{q}^{(4)} - \nu_4 \hat{\nu}, \quad (7)$$

satisfy eq.(6). One can check it multiplying combination $m_j m_l + n_j n_l + l_j l_l + p_j p_l$, with $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{p}$ expressed according to eqns. (7), by an arbitrary vector

Robust Superfluid Phases...

a, orthogonal to $\hat{\nu}$. From definitions (7) there follow properties of vectors **m, n, l, p**:

$$\begin{aligned} m^2 + n^2 + l^2 + p^2 &= 3 \\ \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{n} &= -\nu_1 \nu_2, \quad \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{l} = -\nu_1 \nu_3, \quad \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{l} = -\nu_2 \nu_3, \dots \\ m^2 &= 1 - \nu_1^2, \quad n^2 = 1 - \nu_2^2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

With the aid of the second property one can show easily, that $[\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{n}] \cdot [\mathbf{l} \times \mathbf{p}] = 0$. It means that the normals to the planes spanned correspondingly by the pairs of vectors **m, n** and **l, p** are mutually orthogonal. That statement applies to any other choice of pairs among the four vectors **m, n, l, p**.

So, we conclude that the order parameter of an arbitrary robust ESP-phase has a form given by eq. (4) with the vectors **m, n, l, p**, specified by eqns. (7). This is a three-parametric family. Since the conditions (1) and (2) have a meaning of localization threshold, these order parameters need not be minima of free energy. There may be localized states with the lower energy i.e. with a higher transition temperature. Comparison of energies is of importance for a choice within the three-parameter manifold of the found robust phases. Zeroth order Ginzburg and Landau free energy for robust phases has a form:

$$F_{GL} = \int d^3r \{ \alpha(T - T_c) A_{\mu j} A_{\mu j}^* + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^5 \beta_n I_n \}, \quad (8)$$

where I_n - are invariants of the 4-th order and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_5$ - phenomenological coefficients (for definitions cf.⁵). Minimization of the free energy (8) is equivalent to minimization of a sum $\Sigma = \sum_{n=1}^5 \beta_n I_n$. Direct substitution of the found order parameter renders up to a positive coefficient

$$\Sigma = \beta_1 + 9\beta_2 + \beta_3 + 5\beta_4 + 5\beta_5 - 4(\beta_1 + \beta_5)(\nu_1 \nu_4 - \nu_2 \nu_3)^2. \quad (9)$$

Without strong coupling corrections both β_1 and β_5 are negative. It is not likely that the sum $\beta_4 + \beta_5$ will change its sign when these corrections as well as possible corrections due to a presence aerogel are taken into account. In that case minimum of Σ is achieved when

$$\nu_1 \nu_4 = \nu_2 \nu_3. \quad (10)$$

This condition has simple physical meaning. Order parameters (4) correspond to nonunitary phases, which can have net spin. Spin density is proportional to $e_{\mu\nu\lambda} A_{\mu j} A_{\nu j}^*$. For the order parameter (4) this combination is proportional to $[\hat{d} \times \hat{e}][\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{l} - \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{p}]$. With the aid of the listed

I. A. Fomin

above properties of $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{p}$ one can see that the constraint (10) is equivalent to requirement of absence of a net spin density (or absence of ferromagnetism). Three-dimensional vectors $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{p}$ are determined when the projections $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4$ are specified. With the constraint (10) the family of robust ESP-phases has two-parametric degeneracy. Possible parametrization is: $\nu_1 = \sin \alpha \sin \beta, \nu_2 = \sin \alpha \cos \beta, \nu_3 = \cos \alpha \sin \beta, \nu_4 = \cos \alpha \cos \beta$. Further lifting of degeneracy can occur in the next order on η_{jl} , which is not considered here.

The ratio of the energy gain of family of robust phases to that of ABM phase can be expressed in terms of the coefficients $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_5$:

$$\frac{\Delta F_{ABM}}{\Delta F_R} = \frac{\beta_{13} + 9\beta_2 + 5\beta_{45}}{9\beta_{245}}, \quad (11)$$

where $\beta_{13} = \beta_1 + \beta_3$ etc.. For the weak coupling values of $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_5$ ⁵ one has $(\Delta F_{ABM})/(\Delta F_\nu) = 19/18$, i.e. ABM-phase is slightly more favorable energetically. One has to remark that for the weak coupling $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_5$ ABM-phase itself is not stable. Combinations of $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_5$, deduced from experiments⁶ do not form a complete set and do not define the ratio eq.(11) without ambiguity.

Lifting of the relative spin-orbit degeneracy is due to the dipole energy. For the order parameter (4) it has a form:

$$U_D = \frac{g_D \Delta^2}{3} [(\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{l})^2 + (\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 - (\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{l} - \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{m})^2 - (\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{n})^2]. \quad (12)$$

This energy reaches its minimum at $\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{m} = 0, \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{l} = 0, \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{p} = 0, \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{m} = -\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{n} = -\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{p}$. These conditions fix orientation of the orbital vectors $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{p}$ with respect to the spin vectors $\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{d} \times \mathbf{e}$. In a coordinate system determined by a reper $(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f})$ the orbital vectors have coordinates: $\mathbf{m} = (0, -\cos \beta, \cos \alpha \sin \beta); \mathbf{n} = (0, \sin \beta, \cos \alpha \cos \beta); \mathbf{l} = (\cos \beta, 0, -\sin \alpha \sin \beta); \mathbf{p} = (-\sin \beta, 0, -\sin \alpha \cos \beta)$, where α and β are the same as in the above parametrisation of $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4$.

3. Discussion

Equation (4) with the constraint (5) determines a two-parametric family of order parameters for a triplet p -wave Cooper pairing. These order parameters can preserve long-range order in a presence of the random tensor field modelling aerogel in the superfluid ^3He . Magnetic susceptibility of the phases corresponding to that type of the order parameter is that of the normal phase, so they can be considered as candidates for the A-like phase of

Robust Superfluid Phases...

^3He in aerogel. Calculation of other observable properties of these phases for definitive identification of the A-like phase is in a progress.

This research was supported in part by RFBR grant (no. 01-02-16714) and by NATO grant SA(PST.CLG.979379)6993/FP.

REFERENCES

1. I.A. Fomin, *JETP Letters*, **77**, 240 (2003)
2. Y. Imry and S. Ma *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **35**, 1399 (1975)
3. B.I.Barker, Y.Lee, L.Polukhina *et al. Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **85**, 2148 (2000)
4. V.V. Dmitriev, V.V.Zavjalov, D.E.Zmeev, I.V. Kosarev, and N. Mulders, *JETP Letters*, **76**, 321 (2002).
5. D. Vollhardt and P. Wölfle, *The Superfluid Phases of Helium 3*, Taylor and Francis (1990).
6. H.M. Bozler and C.M.Gould, *Czech. J. Phys., Suppl S1*, **46**, 165 (1996)